

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

In re Patent Application of:  
Dean A. Klein

Group Art Unit: 2824

Application No.: 10/796,111

Examiner: Pho M. Luu

Filed: March 10, 2004

Confirmation No.: 2460

For: POWER MANAGEMENT CONTROL AND  
CONTROLLING MEMORY REFRESH  
OPERATIONS

---

Allowed: April 17, 2009

**COMMENTS ON EXAMINER'S  
STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

MS Issue Fee  
Commissioner of Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450


Dear Sir:

Applicant agrees in part with the Examiner's statement of reasons for allowance in that the prior art of record fails to anticipate or render obvious the claimed invention. However, Applicant notes that the Examiner's statement fails to address all limitations of all of the allowed claims. For example, certain claim limitations were not discussed in the Examiner's reasons for allowance, including those in the dependent claims, each of which defines a unique combination of features not shown or suggested by the prior art, providing additional reasons for allowance of each claim.

Applicant submits that the scope of the claims should be interpreted based on the actual language of the allowed claims, and no further limitation of the claims should be inferred from the Examiner's Statement of Reasons For Allowance.

Dated: July 16, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Gianni Minutoli

Registration No.: 41,198

Thomas D. Anderson

Registration No.: 56,293

DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP

1825 Eye Street, NW

Washington, DC 20006

(202) 420-2200

Attorneys for Applicants